

50 STATE AND COUNTY EXPENDITURES FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE SERVICES
FISCAL YEAR 2005

State	Fiscal Year	State Expenditure	County Expenditure	Total Expenditure	Percent State Expenditure
Alabama	2005	\$41,791,344		\$41,791,344	100.0%
Alaska	2005	\$27,183,800		\$27,183,800	100.0%
Arizona	2005	\$820,900	\$103,169,343*	\$103,990,243	0.8%
Arkansas	2005	\$15,032,000	\$1,440,395	\$16,472,395	91.3%
California	2005	\$27,460,000	\$545,417,808	\$572,877,808	4.8%
Colorado	2005	\$47,473,830		\$47,473,830	100.0%
Connecticut	2005	\$35,547,327		\$35,547,327	100.0%
Delaware	2005	\$10,621,400		\$10,621,400	100.0%
District of Columbia	2005			\$59,535,000	0.0%
Florida	2005	\$232,700,000		\$232,700,000	100.0%
Georgia	CY 2005	\$37,227,081	\$57,000,000	\$94,227,081	39.5%
Hawaii	2005	\$10,530,386		\$10,530,386	100.0%
Idaho	2005	\$1,265,800	\$9,921,192*	\$11,186,992	11.3%
Illinois	2005	\$24,342,584	\$100,435,199*	\$124,777,783	19.5%
Indiana	2005	\$17,467,000	\$25,000,000	\$42,467,000	41.1%
Iowa	2005	\$43,194,649		\$43,194,649	100.0%
Kansas	2005	\$18,114,857	\$5,308,134*	\$23,422,991	77.3%
Kentucky	2005	\$29,970,270	\$1,528,140	\$31,498,410	95.1%
Louisiana	CY 2005	\$4,381,640	\$21,561,889	\$25,943,529	16.9%
Maine	2005	\$10,841,372		\$10,841,372	100.0%
Maryland	2005	\$70,330,970		\$70,330,970	100.0%
Massachusetts	2005	\$120,033,457		\$120,033,457	100.0%
Michigan	2005	\$5,634,400	\$73,221,713	\$78,856,113	7.1%

*Figure represents estimate, see notes for explanation.

Copyright © 2006 American Bar Association

Prepared by The Spangenberg Group on behalf of the American Bar Association Bar Information Program

50 STATE AND COUNTY EXPENDITURES FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE SERVICES
FISCAL YEAR 2005

State	Fiscal Year	State Expenditure	County Expenditure	Total Expenditure	Percent State Expenditure
Minnesota	2005	\$61,110,000	\$4,500,000	\$65,610,000	93.1%
Mississippi	2005	\$1,456,121	\$11,364,919	\$12,821,040	11.4%
Missouri	2005	\$30,156,416		\$30,156,416	100.0%
Montana	2005	\$13,786,495		\$13,786,495	100.0%
Nebraska	2004/ 2005 ¹	\$845,781	\$22,693,906	\$23,539,687	3.6%
Nevada	2005	\$726,178*	\$26,806,108*	\$27,532,286	2.6%
New Hampshire	2005	\$15,718,938		\$15,718,938	100.0%
New Jersey	2005	\$104,552,000		\$104,552,000	100.0%
New Mexico	2005	\$30,798,000		\$30,798,000	100.0%
New York	2005	\$157,636,127	\$244,843,703	\$402,479,830	39.2%
North Carolina	2005	\$85,526,000		\$85,526,000	100.0%
North Dakota	2005	\$2,549,663		\$2,549,663	100.0%
Ohio	2005	\$41,100,978	\$70,357,402	\$111,458,380	36.9%
Oklahoma	2005	\$17,513,364	\$10,926,734*	\$28,440,098	61.6%
Oregon	2005	\$88,123,000		\$88,123,000	100.0%
Pennsylvania	2005		\$100,652,582*	\$100,652,582	0.0%
Rhode Island	2005	\$9,326,000		\$9,326,000	100.0%
South Carolina	2005	\$9,356,488	\$13,283,625	\$22,640,113	41.3%
South Dakota	2005	\$927,726	\$8,073,281	\$9,001,007	10.3%
Tennessee	2005	\$51,038,008	\$4,422,300	\$55,460,308	92.0%
Texas	2005	\$16,370,412	\$128,313,242	\$144,683,654	11.3%
Utah	2005		\$12,896,632*	\$12,896,632	0.0%
Vermont	2005	\$9,019,910		\$9,019,910	100.0%
Virginia	2005	\$90,129,365		\$90,129,365	100.0%

*Figure represents estimate, see notes for explanation.

50 STATE AND COUNTY EXPENDITURES FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE SERVICES
FISCAL YEAR 2005

State	Fiscal Year	State Expenditure	County Expenditure	Total Expenditure	Percent State Expenditure
Washington	2005	\$4,397,900	\$80,392,300	\$84,727,200	5.2%
West Virginia	2005	\$29,565,099		\$29,565,099	100.0%
Wisconsin	2005	\$68,088,536		\$68,088,536	100.0%
Wyoming	2005	\$5,233,755	\$921,493	\$6,155,248	85.0%
State Total	2005	\$1,777,017,327	\$1,684,389,040	\$3,520,941,367	50.5%
Federal Expenditure: Criminal Justice Act Funding	2005		\$668,800,000		
NATIONAL TOTAL	2005		\$4,189,741,367		

i. State money for Nebraska is from FY 2005, county money is from FY 2004.

*** Notes on Estimates:**

In a number of states we needed to estimate the indigent defense expenditure. This is due to a lack of reliable data, either at the state or county level. Below are the states in which the indigent defense expenditures were estimated and the methodology used to make these estimates.

Arizona: We were only able to obtain FY 2005 expenditures for Maricopa and Pima Counties, and state-level expenditures. In FY 2002 (the last year for which statewide data was collected), Maricopa and Pima Counties comprised of 77% of the total countywide indigent defense expenditures in the state. Using this 77% expenditure figure we were able to estimate the remaining 23 percent of county expenditures.

Idaho and Illinois: We were able to obtain accurate state expenditure data in Idaho and Illinois; however, there is no state entity that tracks county expenditures in each state. Therefore, using Idaho and Illinois' FY 2002 expenditure data from our last state and county expenditure report,¹ we calculated each state's county expenditure by estimating a 5% increase in expenditures

¹The Spangenberg Group, *State and County Expenditure for Indigent Defense Services in Fiscal Year 2002* (Sept. 2003), at <http://www.abanet.org/legalservices/downloads/sclaid/indigentdefense/indigentdefepend2003.pdf>.

50 STATE AND COUNTY EXPENDITURES FOR INDIGENT DEFENSE SERVICES
FISCAL YEAR 2005

annually since 2002.

Kansas: In Kansas we were able to obtain accurate state expenditure data. Therefore, we increased county expenditures by the same percentage that the state expenditures increased from FY 2002² to FY2005.

Nevada: In Nevada, we increased the state's FY 2002 state and county expenditures by 5% annually, using FY 2002 data from our previous report.³

Oklahoma: We were able to obtain reliable state expenditure data in Oklahoma for FY 2005, and we were able to obtain accurate FY 2005 expenditures for Tulsa County, one of two counties that fund indigent defense in Oklahoma. To calculate total county expenditures, we increased the county expenditures by the same percentage the state expenditures increased from FY 2002 to FY 2005.

Pennsylvania: In Pennsylvania, indigent is entirely county-funded, and there is no statewide agency that collects county indigent defense expenditure data. Therefore, we increased our FY 2002 expenditure figure, which had been based on data collected in a 2000 statewide study performed by The Spangenberg Group, by 5% annually to calculate FY 2005 expenditures.

Utah: In Utah, which is entirely county-funded, we were able to obtain reliable county expenditure data for Salt Lake County, which comprises of nearly 40% of the state's total population. Therefore, we calculated total indigent defense expenditures by calculating what the remaining 60% would be.

² *Id.*

³ *Id.*